

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,841	10/12/2001	Rory Smith	476-2059	3645
23644 7590 12/31/2007 BARNES & THORNBURG LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 278	6 .		NGO, NGUYEN HOANG	
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
				•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent-ch@btlaw.com



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

# MAILED DEC 2 7 2007 GROUP 2600

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 09/975,841 Filing Date: October 12, 2001 Appellant(s): SMITH ET AL.

William M. Lee For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 9/27/2007 appealing from the Office action mailed 6/27/2007.

09/975,841 Art Unit: 2616

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

#### (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

#### (4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

### (5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

### (6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

### (7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

6934301	Jordan	8-2005
6965619	Bisson	11-2005

09/975;841 Art Unit: 2616

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

3. Claims 1-6, 8, 11, 12, 16-20, 22, 23, 24-28, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan (US 69345301) in view of Bisson et al. (US 6965619), hereinafter referred to as Jordan and Bisson.

Regarding claim 1, Jordan discloses a method for converting bursty, packetized data traffic from a wide bandwidth network to a constant, average rate traffic on a payload network having a narrower bandwidth (a method of mapping a packet orientated client signal to a synchronous network payload, abstract). Jordan further discloses;

receiving conventional data packet from a Gigabit Ethernet network (receiving said client signal (Ethernet), 104 of figure 1 and col4 lines 34-36).

removing the idle bytes to reduce a transmitted bit stream, framing the packets, and providing the framed data packets to a payload network (processing said client signal (Ethernet) to a form suitable for mapping to said payload (framing), col4 lines 39-46) and gives the example of transmitting on a conventional standard bandwidth SONET payload network with no loss of data content (wherein said step of processing reduces the bandwidth of the client signal while maintaining the integrity of a payload of the client signal, col4 lines 35-39).

Jordan however fails to specifically disclose preserving a buffer-to-buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal. Jordan however discloses preventing buffer

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

overflows (col7 lines 48). Bisson further discloses flow control involving buffers that perform rate adaptation and absorbs burst of data from the client and further discloses the regulation of flow of data across the network by monitoring the status of the buffers at each end of the link and provides feedback to the protocol control component when the buffers are filling and that the feedback is used to regulate the client transmissions and prevent buffer overflow (buffer to buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal, col5 lines 53-65). It would have thus been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the concept of flow control of buffers as disclosed by Bisson into the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan in order to prevent any buffer overflow and loss of data.

Regarding claim 2, 3 and 4, the combination of Jordan and Bisson, more specifically Jordan discloses that a data content of the 1 Gb Ethernet stream is typically less than about 600 MB, and the remainder being idle bytes and further discloses removal of the idle bytes from the 1 Gb Ethernet stream permits the Ethernet valid payload to fit the OC12 bandwidth without any loss of data content (the bandwidth is reduced by removing redundant information, idles, or primitive sequence from said client signal, col4 lines 55-61). It is further noted that applicant states that any client signal suitably formatted for transportation over a synchronous communications network typically includes redundant signaling code (redundant information), for example, idles on page 6

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

of the specification and further discloses that a primitive signals may be idles on page 17 of the specification.

Regarding claim 5 and 6, the combination of Jordan and Bisson, more specifically Jordan, discloses that the data packet is formatted in accordance with a protocol of the broadband network, and that Ethernet is basically a broadcast protocol. That it includes but is not limited to 1Mb Ethernet, 10-Mb Ethernet, Fast Ethernet, and 1-Gb Ethernet (buffer-to-buffer flow control mechanism is provided according to a Fibre Channel protocol or a ESCON protocol class of service, col1 lines 35-46). It is noted that that applicant states any appropriate client signal protocols for transmission in a synchronous digital environment, which incorporate some redundancy, for example, 10B encoded signals such as Fibre Channel, ESCON, or Gigabit Ethernet (as disclosed by Jordan), may be optimized for bandwidth allocation by removal of redundant signals on page 6 of the specification.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Jordan and Bisson, more specifically Jordan, discloses that the SONET network is selected from a group consisting of OC1, OC3, and OC12 (synchronous payload is taken from the group consisting of SONET virtual container payloads, SDH virtual container payloads, virtually concatenated SONET virtual container payloads, virtually concatenated SDH container payloads, contiguously concatenated SONET virtual container payloads, and contiguously concatenated SDH virtual container payloads, col11 lines 12-16).

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Jordan and Bisson, more specifically Jordan, discloses a control logic block and a GbE controller (figure 1 and 2), which controls the payload allocation (the bandwidth of the synchronous payload is allocated by a network management system).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Jordan and Bisson, more specifically Jordan, discloses of a framer that is connected to the payload network (the bandwidth of the synchronous payload is allocated by an apparatus (framer) implementing the method of mapping, col6 lines 5-7).

Regarding claim 16, Jordan discloses a method for converting bursty, packetized data traffic from a wide bandwidth network to a constant, average rate traffic on a payload network having a narrower bandwidth (a method of mapping a packet oriented client signal (Ethernet) that uses a buffer-to-buffer flow control mechanism to a synchronous transmission network (SONET), abstract). Jordan further discloses;

receiving conventional data packet from a Gigabit Ethernet network (104 of figure 1 and col4 lines 34-36) and determines if the "current" byte is a non-idle byte (col6 lines 45-49) and inputting the non-idle byte into an input buffer. Jordan further discloses that when the idle state signal indicates that the "current" byte is idle, the "current" byte will not be written into the buffer (processing said client signal to remove at least one order set (idle) provided according to a protocol of said client signal to form a second signal

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

(serial data stream with no idle bytes) and storing the second signal in an ingress buffer, col7 lines 24-28).

framing the packets, and providing the framed data packets to a payload network (mapping the second signal to said synchronous payload, col4 lines 39-46) and gives the example of transmitting on a conventional standard bandwidth SONET payload network with no loss of data content (wherein said step of processing reduces the bandwidth of the client signal while maintaining the integrity of a payload of the client signal, col4 lines 35-39).

Jordan however fails to specifically disclose preserving a buffer-to-buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal. Jordan however discloses preventing buffer overflows (col7 lines 48). Bisson further discloses flow control involving buffers that perform rate adaptation and absorbs burst of data from the client and further discloses the regulation of flow of data across the network by monitoring the status of the buffers at each end of the link and provides feedback to the protocol control component when the buffers are filling and that the feedback is used to regulate the client transmissions and prevent buffer overflow (buffer to buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal, col5 lines 53-65). It would have thus been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the concept of flow control of buffers as disclosed by Bisson into the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan in order to prevent any buffer overflow and loss of data.

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 17, 18, and 19, the combination of Jordan and Bisson, more specifically Jordan discloses that a data content of the 1 Gb Ethernet stream is typically less than about 600 MB, and the remainder being idle bytes and further discloses removal of the idle bytes from the 1 Gb Ethernet stream permits the Ethernet valid payload to fit the OC12 bandwidth without any loss of data content (the bandwidth is reduced by removing redundant information, idles, or primitive sequence from said client signal, col4 lines 55-61). It is further noted that applicant states that any client signal suitably formatted for transportation over a synchronous communications network typically includes redundant signaling code (redundant information), for example, idles on page 6 of the specification and further discloses that a primitive signals may be idles on page 17 of the specification.

Regarding claim 20, Jordan discloses from figure 2, of a method for converting the data packet of the OCnc payload network back to the format of the data packet for the 1Gb Ethernet network (a method of restoring a packet oriented client signal from at least one synchronous network payload, col8 lines 13-19). Jordan further discloses;

of receiving an OCnc data packet from the payload network (receiving said synchronous payload, col8 lines 40).

of a deframer that removes any header and routing information which has been added by the framer and a serializer-deserializer which converts the parallel data in the

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

OCnc packet to a corresponding serial data stream (de-mapping said signal from synchronous payload, col8 lines 39-54).

of storing the serial data stream in a buffer (storing said signal in an egress buffer, buffer 218 of figure 2).

and of the serializer-deserializer that receives the serial data stream from buffer 218, converts the outputted serial data stream to a parallel data packet formatted for 1 Gb Ethernet network (processing said signal to add at least one ordered set (idle) provided according to a protocol of said packet orientated client signal, figure 2 and col9 lines 19-24) and gives the example of transmitting on a conventional standard bandwidth SONET payload network with no loss of data content (maintains the integrity of a payload of the client signal, col4 lines 35-39).

Jordan however fails to specifically disclose preserving a buffer-to-buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal. Jordan however discloses preventing buffer overflows (col7 lines 48). Bisson further discloses flow control involving buffers that perform rate adaptation and absorbs burst of data from the client and further discloses the regulation of flow of data across the network by monitoring the status of the buffers at each end of the link and provides feedback to the protocol control component when the buffers are filling and that the feedback is used to regulate the client transmissions and prevent buffer overflow (buffer to buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal, col5 lines 53-65). It would have thus been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the concept of flow control of buffers as

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

disclosed by Bisson into the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan in order to prevent any buffer overflow and loss of data.

Regarding claim 22 and 23, the combination of Jordan and Bisson, more specifically Jordan discloses of adding idle frames back into the data stream as seen in figure 2 (one ordered set is a client signal idle inserted between client signal packets in said signal according to the client signal protocol). It is further noted that applicant states that any client signal suitably formatted for transportation over a synchronous communications network typically includes redundant signaling code (redundant information), for example, idles on page 6 of the specification and further discloses that a primitive signals may be idles on page 17 of the specification (ordered set is a primitive sequence inserted).

Regarding claims 24-28, the combination of Jordan and Bisson, more specifically Jordan discloses the apparatus, which performs all the steps of the method as discussed in claim 16. The Apparatus disclosed by Jordan clearly anticipates claims 24-28 as discussed with claim 16 and figure 1.

Regarding claim 36, Jordan discloses a method for converting bursty, packetized data traffic from a wide bandwidth network to a constant, average rate traffic on a payload network having a narrower bandwidth (a method of allocating bandwidth in a

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

synchronous digital network for a packet oriented signal (Ethernet) having buffer-tobuffer flow control, abstract). Jordan further discloses;

receiving conventional data packet from a Gigabit Ethernet network (receiving packet oriented signal (Ethernet), 104 of figure 1 and col4 lines 34-36).

removing the idle bytes to reduce a transmitted bit stream, framing the packets, and providing the framed data packets to a payload network (processing said packet oriented signal to a processed signal having a form suitable for mapping to a synchronous payload, step of processing removes redundant (idle) information from the packet oriented signal, col4 lines 39-46) and gives the example of transmitting on a conventional standard bandwidth SONET payload network with no loss of data content (while maintaining the integrity of a payload of the packet oriented signal, col4 lines 35-39).

providing a reduced data stream, by removal of idle bytes and providing the reduced data stream to the payload network (mapping said processed signal (reduced data stream) to a said synchronous network payload having bandwidth determined according to the bandwidth of said processed signal (reduce data stream), col10 lines 25-32).

Jordan however fails to specifically disclose preserving a buffer-to-buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal. Jordan however discloses preventing buffer overflows (col7 lines 48). Bisson further discloses flow control involving buffers that perform rate adaptation and absorbs burst of data from the client and further discloses

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

the regulation of flow of data across the network by monitoring the status of the buffers at each end of the link and provides feedback to the protocol control component when the buffers are filling and that the feedback is used to regulate the client transmissions and prevent buffer overflow (buffer to buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal, col5 lines 53-65). It would have thus been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the concept of flow control of buffers as disclosed by Bisson into the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan in order to prevent any buffer overflow and loss of data.

Regarding claim 10, Jordan and Bisson fails to specifically disclose the step of padding said processed client signal so that said processed client signal is appropriately padded to fill a predetermined synchronous payload bandwidth. This is however a well-known technique in the art and thus should be obvious that a padding technique be used in order to complete the bytes of a payload for transmission.

Regarding claim 21, Jordan and Bisson fails to disclose the specific limitation of removing one padding character added to said signal prior to being mapped to said synchronous payload. This is however a well-known technique in the art and thus should be obvious as mentioned in claim 10.

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan (US 6934301) in view of Bisson et al. (US 6965619) in further view of Rauch (US 6243510), hereinafter referred to as Jordan, Bisson and Rauch.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Jordan and Bisson fails to disclose the specific limitation of having the packet orientated client signal be provided according to a higher level protocol supported by said Fibre Channel protocol. Jordan however discloses that the data packet is formatted in accordance with a protocol of the broadband network (Ethernet) and provides the motivation to have the data packet according to a higher level protocol to efficiently transmit the data packet.

Rauch further discloses that IEEE 802.3x standards (Gigabit Ethernet) define a 1.25 Gbps fiber optics communications protocol, which is based on the Fibre Channel protocol (higher level protocol supported by said Fibre Channel protocol and which has a buffer-to-buffer flow control mechanism, col1 lines 35-37). It would thus be obvious to incorporate the Fibre Channel protocol as disclosed by Rauch into the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan to efficiently and correctly transfer data packets.

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

7. Claims 9 and 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan (US 6934301) in view of Bisson et al. (US 6965619) in further view of Azizoglu et al. (US 6430201), hereinafter referred to as Jordan, Bisson, and Azizoglu.

Regarding claim 9 and 15, the combination of Jordan and Bisson fails to disclose the specific limitation of a step of removing line encoding and multiplexing client signals together to share said synchronous payload.

Azizoglu however discloses that there is a need for an optical network interface that can accept and multiplex GbE/FC (Fiber Channel) signals into a synchronous format signal such as a SONET signal and thus provides the motivation to efficiently use resource of a SONET network. Azizoglu further discloses that 10-bit parallel streams are decoded by 8/10b codecs (22-1 and 22-2 of figure 3), which remove the run-length code overhead of each stream (processing the client signal further includes a step of removing line encoding, col4 lines 40-45) and further discloses a multiplexing method of combining two GbE signals into an OC-48 wavelength as shown in figure 2 (plurality of clients signals are multiplexed together to share said synchronous payload, col4 lines 39-43). It should thus be obvious to a person skilled in the art to incorporate the method of multiplexing to GbE signals into a SONET signal as described by Azizoglu into the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan to efficiently use the resources and bandwidth of the SONET network.

09/975,841 Art Unit: 2616

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan (US 6934301) in view of Bisson et al. (US 6965619) in further view of Gerszberg et al. (US 6452923), hereinafter referred to as Jordan, Bisson and Gerszberg.

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Jordan and Bisson fails to disclose the specific limitation of having the synchronous payload bandwidth modified in response to customer bandwidth demands increasing or decreasing. Gerszberg however discloses a customer may have a lower priority bandwidth usage. Thus when traffic begins to increase (demands increasing/decreasing), the customers bandwidth usage may be modified (bandwidth is modified in response to customer bandwidth demands increasing/decreasing, col39 lines 10-17). It should thus be obvious to a person skilled in the art to incorporate the method of modifying the usage of bandwidth in accordance to a customer priority as disclosed by Gerszberg into the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan to efficiently use the resources and bandwidth of the SONET network for a particular customer.

10. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan (US 6934301) in view of Bisson et al. (US 6965619) in further view of Goodman et al. (US 6636529), hereinafter referred to as Jordan, Bisson, and Goodman.

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Jordan and Bisson fails to disclose the specific limitation of having the bandwidth modified in response to changed in data throughput as distance between the end data packet nodes changes. Jordan however discloses that there are significant limitations on the physical distance that a network can cover (col2 lines 19-23), thus providing the motivation to efficiently use the bandwidth of a SONET network which is dependent on distance between nodes.

Goodman further discloses that the synchronous payload bandwidth (data rate) is modified (significantly reduced) in response to changes in data throughput (delays caused by handshaking) as distance between the end data packet nodes changes (reduced for communications greater than 10m, col8 lines 26-32). It would thus be obvious to incorporate the method of modifying the payload bandwidth as distance between end nodes changes as disclosed by Goodman into the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan to efficiently use the resources and bandwidth of the SONET network and to prevent data loss.

11. Claim 30-35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan (US 6934301) in view of Bisson et al. (US 6965619) in further view of Heuer (US 6842455), hereinafter referred to as Jordan, Bison, and Heuer.

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 30, Jordan discloses a method for converting bursty, packetized data traffic from a wide bandwidth network to a constant, average rate traffic on a payload network having a narrower bandwidth (packet orientated client signal across a synchronous network, abstract). Jordan further discloses receiving conventional data packet from a Gigabit Ethernet network (receiving packet oriented signal (Ethernet), 104 of figure 1 and col4 lines 34-36) and removing the idle bytes to reduce a transmitted bit stream, framing the packets, and providing the framed data packets to a payload network (processing said client signal to a processed signal having a form suitable for mapping to a synchronous payload, step of processing removes redundant (idle) information from the packet oriented signal, col4 lines 39-46) and further discloses of preventing buffer overflows (preserves a buffer-to-buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal, col7 lines 48) and gives the example of transmitting on a conventional standard bandwidth SONET payload network with no loss of data content (while maintaining the integrity of a payload of the packet oriented signal and mapping said processed signal to said synchronous network payload, col4 lines 35-39). The combination of Jordan and Bisson however fails to disclose the specific limitations of load balancing.

Heuer however discloses a method of load balancing that comprises the steps of pre-allocating an initial bandwidth of said synchronous network payload (use of VC-4-4v in fig 1, in a virtual concatenation as disclosed in column 3 lines 15-17) according to a predetermined condition (periods of high traffic, col 3 lines 38-42), wherein said payload

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

comprises a plurality of virtually concatenated virtual containers (fig 1 items 13a-13d), diversely routing said synchronous network payload over said synchronous network (col3 lines 20-24), and in the event of a change in a condition of the network (periods where number of IP packets does not suffice... col3 lines 43-58), modifying the allocated bandwidth (fig 2 uses only 3 virtual containers 13a-13c). It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of load balancing disclosed by Heuer with the method for converting data packets between a higher bandwidth network and a lower bandwidth network as disclosed by Jordan to efficiently use the resources of the SONET network, more specifically to adapt the number of concatenated multiplex units to the bandwidth actually required.

Regarding claim 31-32 and 34, the combination of Jordan, Bisson, and Heuer discloses all the limitations of claims 31-32 and 34, more specifically, Heuer discloses that the bandwidth is automatically modified by the apparatus performing the mapping, as disclosed in col4 lines 34-45, wherein at the time thresholds the bandwidth is changed automatically to a pre-allocated value.

Regarding claim 33, the combination of Jordan Bisson, and Heuer discloses all the limitations of claims 33, more specifically, Heuer discloses that the pre-allocation bandwidth is determined by requirements requested by a user of the network, as high traffic loads as discussed in claim 30, represent a user or users requiring bandwidth for a greater amount of traffic.

09/975,841

Art Unit: 2616

**Regarding claim 35**, the combination of Jordan Bisson, and Heuer discloses all the limitations of claims 35, more specifically, Heuer discloses that the pre-allocation is determined by the condition of the synchronous network, as all 4 virtual containers of figure 1 are used due to the high traffic period condition of the data network as discussed in claim 30.

#### (10) Response to Argument

In the appeal brief the applicant states the combination of Jordan and Bisson fails to disclose (1) of preserving a buffer to buffer flow control mechanism of a client signal.

With respect to claim (1), Examiner insists that the combination of Jordan and Bison discloses a buffer to buffer flow control mechanism of a client signal. It should be noted that figure 1 of Jordan may correlate to N1 of figure 4b of the applicant's drawing. Examiner thus uses the teaching of Bison to disclose the concept of a buffer to buffer flow control of a signal as Bisson discloses flow control involving buffers that perform rate adaptation and absorbs burst of data from the client and further discloses the regulation of flow of data across the network by monitoring the status of the buffers at each end of the link and provides feedback to the protocol control component when the buffers are filling and that the feedback is used to regulate the client transmissions and prevent buffer overflow (buffer to buffer flow control mechanism of the client signal, col5 lines 53-65). Buffer-to buffer flow control is a well-known concept and Examiner thus

uses Bison to illustrate this concept. It should further be noted that Jordan discloses of having the rate at which data is written to a buffer can be faster than the rate at which data is read from a buffer and that prevention of buffer overflow is needed (col7 line 45-55), thus providing proper motivation to combine, and thus it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to incorporate the buffer-to-buffer flow control concept of Bison into the method of converting data packets between networks as disclosed by Jordan to ensure that buffers do not overflow and that there is no loss of data in order to

#### (11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

Nguyen Ngo

ensure Quality of Service.

Conferees:

Firmin Backer

Huy Vu

Application/Control Number: 09/975,841 Art Unit: 2616

Page 21